Key Considerations for Metal AM Parameter Optimization

Rutuja Samant, Applications Engineer EWI

Additive manufacturing (AM) has developed way beyond its inception as a mere rapid prototyping technology. It now presents itself as a viable alternative manufacturing process for fully functional parts. However, the non-recurring engineering costs involved to take an AM part from concept to production are significant, as the industry is yet to define "what really matters" for these parts. The ever-growing number of AM OEMs, material suppliers, and auxiliary AM service providers make it even more complicated and confusing to select the right set of tools for an application. Even if one does manage to down select an AM technology and material for their application, each system offers a wide variety of processing parameters that must be considered to achieve the desired results based on an application.

The term "optimize process parameters for additive" is commonly used in the AM world. But these process parameters can mean many things. In order to bring a new part to market, the AM process

cycle (Figure 1) progresses from identifying the correct material source, characterizing the raw material, identifying the correct machine parameter window for deposition, developing the stress relief and heat treatment parameters, identifying the correct post finishing technique, and finally determining the correct NDE technique for inspection. All of this involves optimization to determine the ideal parameter sets based on functional part requirements.

The definition of part requirements and their relationship with AM machine process parameters is tricky, too. There can be multiple ways to achieve the same part requirement. For example, one might want to optimize machine parameters to meet predefined mechanical properties. This can be done 1) by focusing on optimizing AM machine parameters to build fully dense parts with a certain microstructure which may lead to long processing times, or 2) by focusing on optimizing the heat treatment cycle and building parts relatively fast



Figure 1. AM process cycle selection.

Key Considerations for Metal AM Parameter Optimization

| Product Requirement | Optimize for | Why does it matter? |
|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Properties | Density | Affects part performance governed by mechanical properties like tensile, fatigue, creep and elongation |
| | Microstructure | Affects part performance governed by mechanical properties like fatigue, creep, hardness and elongation |
| | Chemistry | Affects part microstructures thus the inherent mechanical properties as well. |
| Geometry | Dimensional Accuracy | Affects part fit in an assembly |
| | Surface finish | Affects fatigue life, fluid flow characteristics, and part fit |
| | Feature resolution | Affects part functionality |
| | Minimal support | Adds post finishing effort, thus increases cost. |
| Cost | Time | ROI |
| | Throughput | ROI |
| | Low cost material | ROI |



depending on the material. Table 1 gives an overview of some of the product requirements, the factors for which one can optimize, and how that actually affects the end application.

There is no "machinist's handbook" today to help engineers walk through the many factors to be considered when manufacturing a good AM part. Some AM machines come with 1,000+ parameters that each influence the build process in some way, shape or form. In addition, AM processes can be very sensitive to slight changes in any input parameter; be it the raw material, production environment or machine parameters. We have observed that even when a raw material meets defined material specifications, it may be necessary to rework the AM machine process parameters to produce consistent parts when the material supplier is changed. Different material production techniques (and even material producers) can affect build conditions even when characteristic properties such as size distribution, chemistry, flow, and density meet the specs.

Though complex, these intricate co-relations are being worked out within the AM user community. EWI has been at the forefront of this work for more than ten years, and our in-house stateof-the-art equipment includes all seven ASTM F42 AM technologies. We continually invest in internal research and development to ensure that our methodologies are cutting edge. In addition, EWI's 40-member Additive Manufacturing Consortium (AMC), established in 2010, works to further industry collaboration, support, and pre-competitive research. EWI was recently awarded the ASTM AM Center of Excellence in partnership with Auburn University/NASA. For more information on EWI's work in Additive Manufacturing, please contact Rutuja Samant at rsamant@ewi.org.

Rutuja Samant, Applications Engineer in EWI's additive manufacturing (AM) group, is based at EWI's Buffalo Manufacturing Works facility in Western New York. She specializes in the emerging field of designing for AM. Prior to joining EWI in 2015, Rutuja was a graduate research assistant with the GE Global Research Center and University of Cincinnati (UC). In conjunction with UC research engineers, she analyzed post-processing methods in AM, optimized AM process parameters, and conceptualized and designed changes in assembly components using AM techniques. Her graduate school research focused on the geometrical and computational aspects of AM. She has presented on design for AM at the 2015 North American Manufacturing Research Conference.

© 2018 EWI All rights reserved.

1250 Arthur E. Adams Drive, Columbus, Ohio 43221-3585 Phone: 614.688.5000 Fax: 614.688.5001, www.ewi.org

